



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SHERIDAN ROSS PC
1560 BROADWAY
SUITE 1200
DENVER CO 80202

MAILED
JAN 28 2011
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Rieck, et al. :
Application No. 10/541,845 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 27, 2006 :

Attorney Docket No. **1604BPE-17-PUS**

This is a decision on the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181(a), filed December 30, 2010.

The petition is **dismissed**.

This application was held abandoned December 2, 2010, , after no reply was received to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due mailed September 1, 2010. The notice set forth a statutory period of reply of three months from its mailing date. No response was received within the allowable period and the application became abandoned on December 2, 2010. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed December 15, 2010. The instant petition was filed on December 30, 2010. Petitioner maintains that the Office action mailed September 1, 2010, was never received.

When, as in this case petitioner is arguing that an Office communication was not received, petitioner must establish non-receipt of the Office communication in accordance with section 711.03(c) of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* that requires the following:

To minimize costs and burdens to practitioners and the Office, the Office has modified the showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office action. The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner describing the system used for recording an Office action received at the correspondence address of record with the USPTO. The statement should establish that the docketing system is sufficiently reliable. It is expected that the record would include, but not be limited to, the application number, attorney docket number, the mail date of the Office action and the due date for the response.

Practitioner must state that the Office action was not received at the correspondence address of record, and that a search of the practitioner's record(s), including any file jacket or the equivalent, and the application contents, indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the record(s) used by the practitioner where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received is required.

A copy of the practitioner's record(s) required to show non-receipt of the Office action should include the **master docket for the firm**. That is, if a three month period for reply was set in the nonreceived Office action, a copy of the master docket report showing **all replies** docketed for a date three months from the

mail date of the nonreceived Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of nonreceipt of the Office action. **If no such master docket exists, the practitioner should so state** and provide other evidence such as, but not limited to, the following: the application file jacket; incoming mail log; calendar; reminder system; or the individual docket record for the application in question.<

Petitioner has not made the evidentiary showing specified above. The petition is dismissed accordingly. The renewed petition must be accompanied by a copy of petitioner's master docket record for the firm. If a master docket does not exist, the renewed petition should indicate such and be accompanied by adequate evidence to support petitioner's contention that the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due was not received.

Alternatively, petitioner may revive the application based on unintentional abandonment under 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by the required reply, the required petition fee (\$1,620.00 for a large entity and \$810.00 for a verified small entity), and a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300
 Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned (571) 272-3222.

/Kenya A. McLaughlin/

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions